

Attachment 6. Summary of Public Comments and Responses

	Comments	Township Response
<p>P. Nelson Jan 24 2022</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the proposed construction timing/phasing for this development? • Is there adequate green space/parkland within the development and does it meet or exceed minimum requirements? • Are there at least 2, outdoor, off-street car parking spaces for each home? • How is visitor parking accommodated, especially at the proposed townhouse portions of the development? • Is there potable water and sewage treatment capacity available within the present infrastructure facilities for this development, or would infrastructure expansion be required to accommodate this development? • With the many development applications already in the pipeline, how close are we getting to the maximum water and sewer capacity available within the present infrastructure facilities? • Is the proponent of this application the same owner/developer of the Marydel subdivision? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction timing is dependent on approvals, detailed design, agreements and allocation capacity. • A park block (1.18 ha) has been included in the plan that exceeds the minimum 5% required. There is also a trail and trailhead parking lot included. • All lots will provide for a minimum of 2 parking spaces in the driveway. • Visitor parking will be permitted on the street and in the trail head parking lot. • Water and sewer services are provided by the Region of Durham. • There is currently water and sewage capacity in the existing system, however, allocation is based on first come, first serve. Therefore, it depends on the timing of this development compared to other developments in the area. Infrastructure expansions/improvements are planned to provide servicing for planned growth within the Beaverton urban area limits. • This is not the same owner as the Marydel subdivision.

	Comments	Township Response
<p>M. Pettit Jan 24 2022</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concerns and suggestions regarding this proposed subdivision. • A subdivision of that size needs more green space to create neighbourhoods where people feel connected with each other and which will improve social and physical well being. Suggest a large green space be located in the centre and reserved for common use (such as playground, community garden etc.). Aware of the green space along the river, but the need for a park area that is within easy walking distance for all residence is also needed. • As development increases, it is crucial that we plan with the future in mind. Needs to insist that subdivisions serve the people and the community of today and tomorrow. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A park block (1.18 ha) has been included in the plan adjacent to the Marydel park block, central to the larger neighbourhood. There is also a trail and trailhead parking lot included.
<p>A. Munshaw & K. Lewis Jan 24 2022</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Live directly across White's Creek from proposed development, have personal concerns and also general concerns. • What efforts will be made to accommodate current residents, residents of the subdivision under construction across town and now this proposed development as far as schools, police, health care (no current doctor and nurse practitioner resigning, emergency services), etc.? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The application has been circulated to various service providers, such as the Fire Department, School Boards and Region of Durham for review and comment and for consideration in their future plans. The School Board noted no objections and that any potential students could be accommodated within existing schools.

	Comments	Township Response
<p>A. Munshaw and K. Lewis cont.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Were there water issues in the homes in the new subdivision? If that's the case, is that something that can be rectified for these proposed homes? • It might be worth mentioning that during spring breakup one year we ended up with massive chunks of ice at the back of our property which is approximately 100 feet from White's Creek. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A Functional Servicing Plan and Hydrogeological Report were submitted and reviewed by LSRCA, Region and Township, and peer reviewed by consultant(s) retained by the Region. • The floodline, erosion hazard setback and 30m setback from the water edge have been taken into account and reviewed by LSRCA. It is the LSRCA's mandate to make sure people and property are protected from flooding.